

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/30/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/660,341	(09/11/2003	Hiroki Ogata	YAMA-65283	YAMA-65283 5146	
24201	7590	11/30/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
FULWIDE				SAGER, MA	ARK ALAN	
6060 CENTER DRIVE 10TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
LOS ANGELES, CA 90045				. 3714		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•	fr	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
•	10/660,341	OGATA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	M. A. Sager	Art Unit 3712 ith the correspondence address ONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, CATION. eply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). timely filed, may reduce any ters, prosecution as to the merits is 2. 11, 453 O.G. 213. by the Examiner. nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). d Office Action or form PTO-152. 3. 119(a)-(d) or (f). pplication No received in this National Stage	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet	vith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a but will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•
Status			
1)	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal ma		5
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 2-27 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdred 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 2-27 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers	•		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to ne drawing(s) be held in abeya ection is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(c	d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ents have been received. Ints have been received in ionity documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	,
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application	

Application/Control Number: 10/660,341

Art Unit: 3712

Double Patenting

Page 2

1. Claims 2-27 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-19 of U.S. Patent No. 6171191. This holding is maintained from prior action and restated herein. Response to Applicants remarks is provided below and incorporated herein. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the vibration motor, means of supplying electric current and pair of diverging grips limited the invention where patentability was not contained therein, thus by eliminating vibration motor, means of supplying electric current and pair of diverging grips from the claims, a broader invention is secured where patentability lies within

2. Claims 2-27 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-15 of U.S. Patent No. 66641479. This holding is maintained from prior action and restated herein. Response to Applicants remarks is provided below and incorporated herein. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because at least the structure for the circuit board, supportive member, vibration motor and pair of diverging grips limited the invention where patentability was not contained therein, thus by eliminating the circuit board, supportive member, vibration motor, means of supplying electric current and pair of diverging grips from the claims, a broader invention is secured where patentability lies within.

Terminal Disclaimer

3. The terminal disclaimer does not comply with 37 CFR 1.321(b) and/or (c) because:

The person who signed the terminal disclaimer is not recognized as an officer of the assignee, and he/she has not been established as being authorized to act on behalf of the assignee. See MPEP § 324.

An attorney or agent, not of record, is not authorized to sign a terminal disclaimer in the capacity as an attorney or agent acting in a representative capacity as provided by 37 CFR 1.34 (a). See 37 CFR 1.321(b) and/or (c).

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 9/6/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The Terminal Disclaimer is improper for reasons stated above and thus does not obviate judicially created double patenting holding.

Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M. A. Sager whose telephone number is 571-272-4454. The examiner can normally be reached on T-F, 0700-1730 hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Olszewski can be reached on 571-272-6788. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3712

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 371-272-1000.

M. A. Sager Primary Examiner Art Unit 3712

mas